

### **Remarks**

The Examiner has rejected the Specification for referring to claims within the first paragraph of the disclosure. The Examiner is rejecting claims 1-25 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Jones, P.N. 4,355,511 and also rejected under 35 U.S. C. §103 as being obvious over Jones.

The Applicant has amended th first paragraph of the specification to more clearly define the invention without referring to the claims. It is believed that the specification, as modified, is acceptable.

Claims 1-25 have been canceled in favor of Claims 26-41. Applicant believes that the new claims clearly define the invention over the cited reference of Jones, U.S. 4,355,511.

Reconsideration and reexamination of the application is respectfully requested. Applicant has made a genuine effort to respond to each of the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicant believes that all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested. If any additional issues need to be resolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at his convenience.

Please charge the one month extension of time fee any additional fees or credit any overpayments as a result of the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

**Hans Oigarden**

By /John E. Nemazi/  
John E. Nemazi  
Reg. No. 30,876  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: February 19, 2008

**BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.**  
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor  
Southfield, MI 48075-1238  
Phone: 248-358-4400  
Fax: 248-358-3351